



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**WONG CABELLO LUTSCH
RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, PC
20333 SH 249, SUITE 600
HOUSTON, TX 77070**

COPY MAILED

NOV 24 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:
David S. Becker et al	: DECISION DISMISSING PETITION
Application No. 09/923,058	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Filed: August 6, 2001	:
Attorney Docket No. 102-0072US-4	:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3), filed October 13, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of prior-filed nonprovisional Application No. 09/344,277, filed June 30, 1999, as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the above-noted, prior-filed application.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on August 6, 2001, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the above-noted, prior-filed application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the transmittal letter entitled "Request for Filing Continuation Application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)," filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(2)(ii).

However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3).¹ In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the above-noted, prior-filed application in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1,370 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Examiner of Technology Center AU 1763 for consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) for benefit of the above-noted, prior-filed nonprovisional application.



Frances Hicks

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

¹ Note MPEP 201.11 (V), page 200-75 (Rev. 1. Feb. 2004 and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.